Check for updates

## **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Lutz Jäncke, University of Zurich, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sven Braeutigam Sven.braeutigam@ohba.ox.ac.uk

RECEIVED 31 January 2024 ACCEPTED 02 February 2024 PUBLISHED 15 February 2024

#### CITATION

Braeutigam S, Lee N and Senior C (2024) Editorial: Society, organizations and the brain: building towards a unified cognitive neuroscience perspective, volume II. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 18:1379995. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2024.1379995

## COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Braeutigam, Lee and Senior. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Society, organizations and the brain: building towards a unified cognitive neuroscience perspective, volume II

## Sven Braeutigam<sup>1\*</sup>, Nick Lee<sup>2</sup> and Carl Senior<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Sciences Division, Oxford Centre for Human Brain Activity, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Warwick Business School, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>School of Psychology, Aston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

### KEYWORDS

organization, coaching, neuroscience, gender differences, consumer

## Editorial on the Research Topic Society, organizations and the brain: building towards a unified cognitive neuroscience perspective, volume II

"The most corrected copies are commonly the least correct." This paradoxical saying – attributed to seventeenth century British statesman and master of the English language Francis Bacon – illustrates aptly the situation twenty first century scientists face in their endeavor to unravel the complex mechanisms underlying the inner workings of organizations and societies, and more generally, the interactions between humans and between humans and the environment. Undeniably, the amount and variety of empirical data as well as theoretical approaches seems bewildering, conflicting, inexplicable, and even illogical at times, which poses challenges that need to be overcome to unearth structures, mechanisms, and, ultimately, meaning.

Since the publication of Volume I of this Research Topic 8 years ago, undeniably, our world has become even more complex, where, among others, a pandemic, demographic changes, and a gradual reshape of the power balance between east and west constitute significant factors, the impact of which percolates down through the individual. Notwithstanding, a group of authors from the first volume and new contributors have taken up the challenge providing further thoughts on mechanisms relevant at the societal level.

Drawing on existing evidence from neuroscience, Rippon argues that it is insufficient to consider only endogenous, brain-based explanations of the gender-related differences observed in society and organization. In addition one should take into account research demonstrating the behavioral consequences and cortical manifestations of social experiences, specifically negative ones. As a test case, the author highlights the so-called gender equality paradox, which refers to the finding that male over-representation in the sciences correlates with the level of gender equality in a society, apparently contradicting the common assumption that reducing the gender equality gaps should result in increasing numbers of women in science (Stoet and Geary, 2012; Williams and Ceci, 2015). Jack et al. posit that effective coaching, which can yield improved personal development, must consider the different aspects of a client's self, instead of focusing exclusively on their Ideal self. In support of their claim, the authors provide fMRI data suggesting that the presumed conflict between Ideal and Real self is, at the neuronal level, related to an attention conflict generated by stimuli that are in favor of either global or local perceptual features. The author's findings might point to an explanation of the so-called Gestalt's Paradox referring to the observation that people are more likely to change in the future the more they accept themselves as they are now (Kirchner, 2000).

In an opinion paper, Hoffmann et al. suggest that coaching could both inspire and inform neuroimaging studies of brain mechanisms involved in understanding speech that drives complex social behaviors, an issue that has been given relatively scant attention so far.

The remaining papers address consumer neuroscience, an area of research that is gaining momentum globally. Cayolla et al. studied the neuronal correlates of fandom. Their fMRI data suggests that loyal fans of weak football teams activate more strongly neuronal circuitry associated with attention and the integration of visual-spatial information compared to fans of strong teams. These results might help to explain the paradoxical observation that fans of poorly performing teams often exhibit strong fan identity and are tightly "fused" to their clubs, which appears at odds with a general behavior know as loss aversion (Newson et al., 2023).

Gier et al. study the neuronal correlates of message framing. Their fMRI data show a specific cortical activation (insula) evoked by negative frames for objects (message targets) that carry a negative connotation, but not for positive objects. This could lead to a useful biomarker to study the intent-purchase gap (e.g., Carrington et al., 2014), which refers to the observation that consumers' intentions (as measured through surveys) often do not or only incompletely match actual purchase decisions (measured through sales data).

Foxall offers a deeper look at a class of theoretical approaches to consumer intention and choice known as behavioral perspective models. Drawing on known functional neuroanatomy, the author propose a model extension that avoids a bipolar treatment of the automatic and controlled aspects of consumer behavior and could lead to better understanding of how (everyday) routine choice might turn into extreme choice (see also Roy and Datta, 2022).

Finally, Haidinger and Koller provide a brief overview of consumer neuroscience, with an emphasis on areas where it can contribute insights beyond conventional methods. Specifically, the authors call for more research addressing the advantages, challenges, and ethical concerns related to consumer neuroscience (see also Braeutigam and Kenning, 2022).

The editors are satisfied that Volume II of this Research Topic has advanced the debate, where big and complex issues relevant at economic, organizational, and societal levels can be approached from a neuroscience perspective. Reassuringly, experimental designs become increasingly "real-world" like, going far beyond the simplistic, abstract stimulus-based approaches often found in neuroimaging studies. In addition, theories are being refined and researchers are increasingly think in multipolar and translational terms, where approaches might become truly interdisciplinary, a key issue already highlighted in the first volume. Such advances, however, cannot shadow the fact that we are still far away from a genuinely unified cognitive neuroscience perspective that could consistently explain the complex web of societies and organizations. Perhaps there is a true paradox out there which might be impossible or difficult to explain in a holistic, all-encompassing fashion.

# Author contributions

SB: Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. NL: Writing—review & editing. CS: Writing—review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

Braeutigam, S., and Kenning, P. (2022). An Integrative Guide to Consumer Neuroscience. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Carrington, M. J., Neville, B. A., and Whitwell, G. J. (2014). Lost in translation: Exploring the ethical consumer intentionbehavior gap. *J. Bus. Res.* 67, 2759–2767. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.0 9.022

Kirchner, M. (2000). Gestalt Therapy Theory: An Overview. St. Louis, MI: APA.

Newson, M., Buhrmester, M., and Whitehouse, H. (2023). United in defeat: shared suffering and group bonding among football fans. *Manag. Sport Leisure* 28, 164–181. doi: 10.1080/23750472.2020.1866650

Roy, P., and Datta, D. (2022). Theory and Models of Consumer Buying Behaviour: A Descriptive Study. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Stoet, G., and Geary, D. C. (2012). Can stereotype threat explain the gender gap in mathematics performance and achievement? *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* 16, 93–102. doi: 10.1037/a0026617

Williar	ms,	W. M.,	and Ceci,	S. J.	. (2015).	National	hiring expe	riments	Proc.	Nat.	Acad.	Sci.	112,	5360-5365.	doi:	10.1073/pnas.141887
reveal 2	2:1	faculty	preference	for	women	on STE	M tenure	track.	8112							