Processing thermogravimetric analysis data for isoconversional kinetic analysis of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis: Case study of corn stalk
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Abstract:

Modelling of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis processes can be used to determine their key operating and design parameters. This requires significant amount of information about pyrolysis kinetic parameters, in particular the activation energy. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is the most commonly used tool to obtain experimental kinetic data, and isoconversional kinetic analysis is the most effective way for processing TGA data to calculate effective activation energies for lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis. This paper reviews the overall procedure of processing TGA data for isoconversional kinetic analysis of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis by using the Friedman isoconversional method. This includes the removal of “error” data points and dehydration stage from original TGA data, transformation of TGA data to conversion data, differentiation of conversion data and smoothing of derivative conversion data,
interpolation of conversion and derivative conversion data, isoconversional calculations, and reconstruction of kinetic process. The detailed isoconversional kinetic analysis of TGA data obtained from the pyrolysis of corn stalk at five heating rates were presented. The results have shown that the effective activation energies of corn stalk pyrolysis vary from 148 to 473 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) when the conversion ranges from 0.05 to 0.85.
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1 Introduction

Lignocellulosic biomass can be used to produce renewable electricity, thermal energy, or biofuels, and chemicals via various conversion technologies, such as combustion, gasification, pyrolysis, bio-digestion, fermentation, etc. [1, 2]. The advantages that make biomass energy a perfect alternative to fossil fuels include: (1) it is a renewable form of energy; (2) it is carbon neutral; and (3) it is widely available. Lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis, a thermochemical conversion process of heating lignocellulosic biomass in the absence of oxygen usually at 300-600 °C, has the potential to offer high efficiencies for the production of liquid fuels which can be readily stored or transported [3, 4]. The yields of those products are dependent upon the feedstock, thermal environment, heating rate and final pyrolysis reaction temperature [5-8]. Specifically, at a moderate pyrolysis reaction temperature (about 500 °C) and
under high heating rates, the main product is bio-oil [9, 10]. Biomass pyrolysis can be performed through different types of pyrolysis reactors [11], such as fluidized bed [12], auger [13], rotary kiln [14], down-tube [15], and free fall reactors [16].

A comprehensive understanding of pyrolysis kinetics of a biomass feedstock is important to the process design, feasibility assessment and scaling in industrial applications [17-19]. Figure 1 shows a schematic flowchart for the overall process design of biomass pyrolysis. In general, the design of biomass pyrolysis processes requires hydrodynamics and transport simulation which involves information about mass and heat transfer as well as kinetics [20]. The optimal parameters for a pyrolysis process should meet the requirements of mass and heat transfer efficiency and pyrolysis kinetics [21, 22].

![Flow chart of design of biomass pyrolysis processes.](image)

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is usually used for the kinetic analysis of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis. According to the search results based on two keywords “thermogravimetric analysis” and “biomass pyrolysis” from the Web of
Science Database (http://isiknowledge.com), there are over 1,350 papers about biomass pyrolysis kinetic analysis by using TGA published in scientific journals indexed by SCI from 2000. **Figure 2** shows the annual number of publications from 2000 to August, 2017, which indicates that TGA has been used to investigate the kinetic mechanism of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis by more and more researchers. Recently, Bach and Chen [23] provided a review on recent activities in pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of various microalgae via TGA and pointed out that the kinetics via TGA was conductive to pyrolysis reactor design, operation optimization, and biofuel production. White et al. [24] concluded that many factors could affect the kinetic parameters based on the processing of TGA data, including process conditions, heat and mass transfer limitations, physical and chemical heterogeneity of the sample, and systematic errors. Saddawi et al. [25] focused on the data analysis method for extracting reliable kinetic data from TGA experiments.

![Figure 2: Number of annual publications from 2000 to present on “thermogravimetric analysis” and “biomass pyrolysis” (based on search results from Web of Knowledge Database).](image)

There are two kinds of kinetic methods used for the analysis of biomass pyrolysis kinetics: model-fitting methods and isoconversional methods [26-28]. According to
Sánchez-Jiménez et al. [29], the use of model-fitting methods may reach analogous conclusions: almost any conversion function can satisfactorily fit experimental data at the cost of estimating drastically different kinetic parameter values. The uncertainty in estimating kinetic parameters caused by the use of model-fitting methods can be avoided in the use of isoconversional methods.

There are many isoconversional kinetic methods including the Friedman differential isoconversional method [30], the Ozawa-Flynn-Wall linear integral isoconversional method [31, 32], the Kissinger-Akahira-Sunose linear integral isoconversional method [33], the Vyazovkin nonlinear integral isoconversional method [34], the advanced Vyazovkin nonlinear integral isoconversional method [35], and the Cai-Chen iterative linear integral isoconversional method [36]. The corresponding equations for obtaining the effective activation energies, advantages and disadvantages of various isoconversional methods are shown in Table 1. Of those isoconversional kinetic methods, the Friedman isoconversional method is the most widely used isoconversional method because of its simplicity and high accuracy. Although the Friedman method is sensitive to data noise, the effect of noise on the isoconversional calculation can be reduced by considering not only data for a specific degree of conversion but also information in its neighborhood [37] or by applying some advanced smoothing methods [38].

When studying the kinetics of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis, the variation of activation energy with conversion obtained from isoconversional methods was frequently observed [39-44]. This variable activation energy was also called as the effective activation energy [45]. Processing TGA data is very important to obtain the effective activation energies for lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis. Researchers have demonstrated some mathematical approaches about it. Caballero and Conesa [46] presented an overview of some relevant mathematical aspects involved in the thermal decomposition processes. Carrier et al. [47] summarized the data preparation and kinetic modeling of biomass pyrolysis by using the Friedman isoconversional method. Although they have discussed some aspects of processing TGA data for isoconversional
kinetic analysis, a comprehensive study about the isoconversional kinetic analysis from TGA data of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis is still missing in the literature. Thus, the aim of this paper is to present the entire process of processing TGA data for isoconversional kinetic analysis of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis taking corn stalk pyrolysis as an example.
## Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of various isoconversional methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Obtaining $E_\alpha$</th>
<th>Advantages and Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Friedman (FR)     | $\ln \left[ \beta_i \left( \frac{d\alpha}{dT} \right)_{\alpha,i} \right] = \ln \left[ A_\alpha f(\alpha) \right] - \frac{E_\alpha}{RT_{\alpha,i}}$ | For a given $\alpha$, the plot $\ln(\beta_i (d\alpha/dT)_{\alpha,i})$ vs. $-(R T_{\alpha,i})^{-1}$ should be a straight line whose slope can be used to estimate $E_\alpha$. | 1. The FR method is linear.  
2. The results obtained from the FR method are accurate.  
3. The FR method is not limited to the use of the linear variation of the heating rate.  
4. The use of the FR method requires the derivative conversion data, which would lead to be numerically unstable and noise sensitive. |
| Ozawa-Flynn-Wall (OFW) | $\ln \beta_i = \ln \left( \frac{A_\alpha E_\alpha}{R g(\alpha)} \right) - 5.331 - 1.052 \frac{E_\alpha}{RT_{\alpha,i}}$ | For a given conversion degree, the plot $\ln(\beta_i)$ vs. $-(1.052(R T_{\alpha,i})^{-1})$ should be a straight line whose slope can be used to evaluate $E_\alpha$. | 1. The OFW method is linear.  
2. In the derivation of the OFW method, an oversimplified temperature integral approximation is used.  
3. The OFW method was derived with the assumption of a constant activation energy from the beginning of the reaction to the conversion degree of interest.  
4. The use of the OFW method may lead to significant errors of $E_\alpha$. |
| Kissinger-Akahira-Sunose (KAS) | $\ln \left( \frac{\beta_i}{T_{\alpha,i}^2} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{R A_\alpha}{E_\alpha g(\alpha)} \right) - \frac{E_\alpha}{RT_{\alpha,i}}$ | For a given conversion degree, the plot of $\ln(\beta_i/T_{\alpha,i}^2)$ vs. $-(R T_{\alpha,i})^{-1}$ should be a straight line and its slope can be used to evaluate $E_\alpha$. | 1. The KAS method is linear.  
2. In the derivation of the KAS method, a oversimplified temperature integral approximation is used.  
3. The KAS method was derived with the assumption of a constant activation energy from the beginning of the reaction to the conversion degree of interest.  
4. The use of the KAS method may lead to important errors of $E_\alpha$. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mathematical Representation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vyazovkin nonlinear method (NL)| $O.F._i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\beta_j}{\beta_i} \int_{T_{i-1}}^{T_{i+1}} e^{-E_{a_j}/RT} dT \right]$ | 1. The NL method is free of temperature integral approximations and is not limited to the use of the linear variation of the heating rate.  
2. The NL method was derived with the assumption of a constant activation energy from the beginning of the reaction to the conversion degree of interest.  
3. The NL method is nonlinear.  
4. The use of the NL method may lead to some errors of $E_\alpha$. |
| Advanced Vyazovkin nonlinear method (ANL) | $O.F._i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j \int_{T_{i-1}}^{T_{i+1}} e^{-E_{a_j}/RT} dT$ | 1. The ANL method is free of temperature integral approximations and is not limited to the use of the linear variation of the heating rate.  
2. The results obtained from the ANL method are very close to the true values.  
3. The ANL method is nonlinear. |
| Iterative linear integral method (Cai-Chen) | $x_a = E_{a-\Delta a/2} / R T_a^2, x_{a-\Delta a} = E_{a-\Delta a/2} / R T_{a-\Delta a}^2, h(x) = x e^x \int_x^{\infty} e^{-x} / x^2 dx$ | 1. The Cai-Chen method is free of temperature integral approximations.  
2. The Cai-Chen method is linear.  
3. The results obtained from the Cai-Chen method are very close to the true values. |
2 TGA

2.1 Brief introduction of TGA

TGA is a thermal analytical technique in which the changes in the mass of a sample is measured as a function of time or temperature as it is subjected to a controlled temperature program in a controlled atmosphere [48]. TGA can provide information about some chemical reactions or about some physical transitions [49]. According to our previous review [50], TGA can be used as an effective tool to perform the proximate analysis of solid fuels. A thermogravimetric analyzer usually consists of a sample pan that is supported by a precision balance [51]. The sample pan is placed in a furnace where the heating temperature and environment are controlled. The mass of the sample is continuously measured and recorded during the experiment.

By coupling a TGA instrument with evolved gas analysis (EGA) equipment, it is possible to detect and identify the evolved gas in a specific time in correlation with TGA signals. The main techniques currently include infrared (IR) analysis and mass spectroscopy (MS) [52, 53]. A more comprehensive TGA-GC/MS (gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy) technique involves separation of the evolving volatile species by GC and identification by MS [54]. In those techniques, the evolved gas from the TGA furnace is sampled either directly into spectrometer or is separated prior to further detection [55]. Through the application of those coupled techniques, the chemical compositions of released volatiles from biomass can be correlated to its lignocellulosic components [54].

Biomass pyrolysis is the thermal decomposition of biomass in the absence of oxygen. Therefore, in order to carry out the kinetic analysis of biomass pyrolysis using TGA, an inert purge gas (for example nitrogen, argon or helium) is chosen to control the atmosphere [19, 56]. There are several heating programs can be used to perform the kinetic analysis of biomass pyrolysis using TGA: isothermal heating program [57], linear heating program [58] or stepwise linear heating program [59], as shown in Figure 3.
The linear heating program is commonly used for biomass pyrolysis kinetics:

$$T = T_i + \beta t$$

(1)

where $T$ is the temperature, $T_i$ is the starting temperature, $t$ is the time, and $\beta$ is the heating rate.

In general, the heating rate used for biomass pyrolysis can range from 0.1 to 100 K min$^{-1}$ [60], because mass transfer has an unwanted influence on TGA measurements when the heating rate is too high [61].

2.2 TGA of corn stalk pyrolysis

In this paper, a case study with corn stalk pyrolysis is performed to demonstrate the entire kinetic analysis process. The pyrolysis kinetics of corn stalk is carried out by a thermogravimetric analyzer (Pyris 1 TGA, PerkinElmer, USA) using an inert atmosphere of N$_2$. The experiments are performed at five heating rates of 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 40 K min$^{-1}$. The results of proximate and ultimate analyses of the corn stalk sample
are shown in **Figure 4**.

**Figure 4.** Proximate analysis result (dry basis) and ultimate analysis result (dry ash free basis) of corn stalk sample.

3 From TGA data to conversion data

3.1 Removal of “error” data points of original TGA data

Upon heating a biomass sample under inert atmosphere, its mass may decrease due to the water evaporation and the release of volatile matters during biomass pyrolysis. It is supposed that the mass versus time curve should show a decrease trend and each data point in the curve would be lower than the previous one. However, there are some data points against the decrease trend because of systematic errors [62]. In order to reduce possible effects caused by those “error” data points in further analysis, it is necessary to remove those “error” data points.

Carrier et al. [47] suggested the removal of zero and negative derivative conversion data points after smoothing and derivation of conversion data, which indicated that those “error” data points contained in the experimental TGA data would affect the later smoothing and derivation operation and the resulting derivative conversion data would contain many fluctuations. And the removal of some resulting derivative conversion data points would distort the data trend.

In this paper, a program is coded to perform the removal of “error” data points. **Figure 5** shows the flowchart of the program.
3.2 Removal of dehydration stage from TGA data

There are several stages in a lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis process. The first stage is the dehydration stage [63, 64]. The other stages correspond to the...
decomposition of biopolymer components (e.g. hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin) of lignocellulosic biomass. The dehydration stage should be removed because the mechanisms of water evaporation in the dehydration stage and the decomposition of biopolymer components are different. In the study on the grape marc combustion kinetics [65], the dehydration stage was not removed, which led to large deviations of the model prediction from the experimental data.

In the removal of the dehydration stage, there is a problem of the determination of the range of the dehydration stage. The simplest way is to take a constant temperature as the end temperature ($T_0$) of the dehydration stage. For example, Chen et al. [66] directly took 150 °C as the end temperature of the dehydration stage for the pyrolysis of corn stalk and wheat straw. In fact, the ASTM standard E1131 [67] provides a more accurate method. As shown in Figure 6, the center of the first mass loss plateau of the TGA curve ($m - t$ curve) is considered as the end temperature of the dehydration stage. According to the standard [67], the mass loss plateau refers to a region of $m - t$ curve with a relatively constant mass.

![Figure 6. Schematic diagram of determination of $T_0$, $m_0$, and $m_f$.](image)
3.3 Determination of conversion

The kinetic analysis of a chemical reaction is usually performed based on the degree of conversion [68]. Therefore, the obtained TGA data should be transferred to the form of the degree of conversion. The degree of conversion can be obtained based on the processed TGA curve:

\[
\alpha(T) = \frac{m_0 - m(T)}{m_0 - m_f}
\]  

(2)

where \( \alpha \) is the degree of conversion, \( T \) is the temperature, \( m_0 \) is the mass at the temperature \( T_0 \), \( m(T) \) is the mass at the temperature \( T \), and \( m_f \) is the final temperature.

Figure 6 also presents the determination of \( m_0 \) and \( m_f \).

When \( T \) increases from \( T_0 \) to \( T_f \), \( m \) decreases from \( m_0 \) to \( m_f \), while \( \alpha \) increases from 0 to 1, as shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7. Schematic diagram of TGA and conversion curves.](image-url)
4 Determination of smoothed derivative conversion data

4.1 Determination of derivative conversion

The derivative conversion curve can give the information about the conversion rate, which may be more sensitive to revealing reaction details. In the kinetic analysis of biomass pyrolysis, the conversion rate information can be used to determine the overlapping pyrolysis reactions of biomass biopolymer components (e.g., cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) [69, 70]. And the derivative conversion data are required in the use of the Friedman differential isoconversional method.

The derivative conversion data are usually obtained from the numerical differentiation of the conversion data, which can be implemented by using the finite difference method. There are three forms of finite differences: forward, backward and central differences [71]. For the intermediate data points, the derivative conversion data are usually calculated by means of the central difference. The forward and backward differences are used for the estimation of the derivative conversion data of the start and end data points, respectively. The corresponding calculation formulae are listed below:

\[
\left( \frac{d\alpha}{dT} \right)_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha_i^{(i+1)} - \alpha_i}{T_i^{(i+1)} - T_i} & \text{for start point} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}}{T_i - T_{i-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i}{T_{i+1} - T_i} & \text{for intermediate points} \\
\frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}}{T_i - T_{i-1}} & \text{for end point}
\end{cases} \tag{3}
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) and \( \left( \frac{d\alpha}{dT} \right)_i \) are the conversion and derivative conversion of the \( i \)th point, respectively.

**Figure 8** presents the flowchart of the numerical differentiation of conversion based on Equation (3).
Figure 8. Flowchart of differentiating conversion data.

Figure 9 shows the $\alpha - T$ and $d\alpha/dT - T$ curves obtained according to the numerical method presented in Equation (3) of corn stalk pyrolysis at the heating rate of 2.5 K min$^{-1}$. 
4.2 Smoothing of derivative conversion

From Figure 9, it can be seen that the derivative conversion curve has many fluctuations. It should be smoothed when the Friedman differential isoconversional method is used for further analysis [72].

There are many methods to smooth the noisy data, which can be divided in two types: parametric fitting methods and nonparametric fitting methods [73].

As for parametric fitting methods, some mathematical functions are used to fit the noisy data so that the resulting fitted curve then can be used for further analysis [74]. The common functions mentioned in published papers include the logistic function [75], Weibull distribution function [76, 77], and Fraser-Suzuki function [78-80]. According to Vyazovkin et al. [74], those mathematical functions could distort the kinetic parameters by inexact kinetic curve matching and by smoothing out real reaction
features. The extreme right data points can affect the fitted values at the extreme left data points when the parametric fitting methods are used [81].

In the nonparametric fitting methods, a predetermined function form is not needed, and a smoothed data point is obtained based on the observation at that point and some specified neighboring points [82]. The common nonparametric fitting methods include the moving average, Savitzky-Golay, and locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) methods [83]. Chen et al. [84] used the moving average smoothing method to process the derivative thermogravimetric curves of pyrolysis of some woody biomass samples. Caballero and Conesa [85] suggested the Savitzky-Golay smoothing method to smooth noisy derivative thermogravimetric curves. Wu et al. [86] used the Savitzky-Golay smoothing method to smooth the derivative conversion curves of pyrolysis and combustion of tobacco waste. In the treatment of the moving average and Savitzky-Golay smoothing methods, the data points are all given equal weight. In fact, it is more reasonable giving more weight to points near the considering point and less weight to points further away. The LOWESS method can avoid the above problems. Yu et al. [38] used it to successfully smooth the derivative conversion data of pine sawdust biochar combustion. In the LOWESS procedure, at each data point a second polynomial is fitted to a subset of the noisy data using the weighted least squares regression. For the smoothing of the \( i \)th data point, the flowchart of the LOWESS procedure is shown in Figure 10, where \( x \) is a neighbor point within the fitting window associated to the current center point \( x_i \), and \( d_i \) is the half-width of the fitting window enclosing the observations for the local regression.
Set the fitting window for the $i$th data point

Calculate the weights of the data points in the fitting window

$$w_i(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \left| \frac{x - x_i}{\delta_i} \right|^3 & \text{if } \left| \frac{x - x_i}{\delta_i} \right| < 1 \\
0 & \text{if } \left| \frac{x - x_i}{\delta_i} \right| \geq 1 
\end{cases}$$

Perform the weighted least square regression (second degree polynomial)

Obtain the smoothed value of the $i$th data point according to the resulting fitted curve

**Figure 10.** Flowchart of LOWESS procedure for smoothing.

The smoothing results with the LOWESS procedure depend on the size of the fitting window. In the literature, a smoothing parameter, $sp$, is introduced to represent the size of the fitting window. Usually, the fraction of the data points used for smoothing at each data point is considered as $sp$. **Figure 11** shows the smoothing results of derivative conversion curve of corn stalk pyrolysis at the heating rate of 2.5 K min$^{-1}$ with $sp=0.01$ and 0.1. It can be observed that a smaller value for the smoothing parameter leads to less smoothing, while a larger value for the smoothing parameter results in more smoothing, perhaps even over smoothing. Therefore, there exists an optimal value for the smoothing parameter.
Figure 11. Smoothing of derivative conversion curve of corn stalk pyrolysis at 2.5 K min\(^{-1}\) by LOWESS procedure with different smoothing parameter values.

There are some automatic methods to determine the optimal smoothing parameter [87]. The corrected Akaike information criteria (AICc) is one of the most effective methods [88]:

\[
AICc = \log\left(\frac{RSS}{n}\right) + \frac{\delta_1 / \delta_2 (n + \nu)}{\delta_2 / \delta_2 - 2}
\]  

(4)

where \(n\) is the number of data points, RSS is the residual sum of squares between the noisy data and the smoothed data, \(\delta_1 = \text{Trace}((I-L)^T(I-L))\), \(\delta_2 = \text{Trace}((I-L)^T(I-L))^2\), \(\nu = \text{Trace}(L^T L)\). The matrix \(I\) is the identity matrix and the smoothing matrix \(L\) satisfies \(y_1 = Ly\), where \(y\) is the vector of noisy data and \(y_1\) is the corresponding vector of smoothed data. The optimal smoothing parameter yields the smallest AICc value.

The AICc values with a range of smoothing parameter values from 0.01 to 0.1 with an interval of 0.01 for smoothing of the derivative conversion curve of corn stalk
pyrolysis at the heating rate of 2.5 K min$^{-1}$ are shown in Figure 12. It can be obtained that the optimal smoothing parameter is 0.04. The comparison between the original derivative conversion curve and the derivative conversion curve smoothed with the optimal smoothing parameter is also shown in Figure 11. It can be seen that smoothing with the optimal smoothing parameter is neither too little nor too much.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 12.** AICc values with various smoothing parameter values for smoothing of derivative conversion data of corn stalk pyrolysis at 2.5 K min$^{-1}$ using LOWESS method.

5 Isoconversional kinetic analysis

5.1 Estimation of temperature and derivative conversion at given conversions

To apply the Friedman differential isoconversional method, the values of $T_\alpha$ and $(d\alpha/dT)_\alpha$ need to be estimated. Usually, these values are estimated from the $\alpha - T$ and smoothed $d\alpha/dT - T$ curves via an interpolation method. Interpolation methods can construct new data points within the range of a discrete set of known data points [89]. Common interpolation methods include near-neighbor interpolation, linear interpolation, and cubic spline interpolation methods [90]. The nearest neighbor
interpolation selects the value of the nearest point, while the linear interpolation uses a
linear polynomial to construct new data points [91]. The cubic spline interpolation takes
a four-point moving window and fits a cubic polynomial between the four-point data
set to construct new data points. It can offer true continuity between the data points.
Therefore, it is usually used to determine \( T_\alpha \) and \( (d\alpha/dT)_\alpha \). Figure 13 shows the \( T_\alpha \) and
\( (d\alpha/dT)_\alpha \) \( (\alpha = 0.05:0.05:0.85) \) values obtained by the cubic spline interpolation of \( \alpha-T \)
and smoothed \( d\alpha/dT - T \) curves of corn stalk pyrolysis at the heating rate of 2.5 K min\(^{-1}\).

![Graph](image)

**Figure 13.** \( T_\alpha \) and \( (d\alpha/dT)_\alpha \) values at various \( \alpha \) \( (0.05:0.05:0.85) \) obtained from cubic
spline interpolation of \( \alpha-T \) and smoothed \( d\alpha/dT - T \) curves of corn stalk pyrolysis at
2.5 K min\(^{-1}\).

### 5.2 Isoconversional kinetic calculation

The isoconversional kinetic methods are based on the following basic assumptions
[92]: (1) the reaction rate at a certain conversion is only a function of temperature; (2) the conversion function and the kinetic parameters at a certain conversion are independent on the heating rate.

The rate of a thermally activated solid-state reaction under a linear heating program can be described by the following ordinary differential equation [93]:

$$\beta \frac{d\alpha}{dT} = Ae^{-E/RT} f(\alpha)$$

where $A$ is the frequency factor, $E$ is the activation energy, $R$ is the universal gas constant and $f(\alpha)$ is differential form of the conversion function.

After taking a logarithm of both sides of Equation (5), one can obtain:

$$\ln\left(\beta \frac{d\alpha}{dT}\right) = \ln[Af(\alpha)] - \frac{E}{RT}$$

For a given conversion and a series of experiments at different heating rates, the above equation becomes:

$$\ln\left(\beta_i \frac{d\alpha}{dT}\right)_{a,i} = \ln[A_{a,f}(\alpha)] - \frac{E_{a}}{RT_{a,i}}$$

where the subscript $i$ denotes the ordinal number of a nonisothermal experiment conducted at the heating rate $\beta_i$, and the subscript $a$ is the quantities evaluated at a specific degree of conversion $\alpha$. For a given $a$, $E_a$ and $\ln[A_{a,f}(\alpha)]$ can be obtained from the slope and intercept of the plot of $\ln[\beta_i(d\alpha/dT)_{a,i}]$ versus $(1/RT_{a,i})$, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the implementation of the Friedman isoconversional method.
Figure 14. Flowchart of Friedman isoconversional method.

Figure 15 represents the smoothed $\beta(\alpha/dT)$ versus $T$ curves for corn stalk pyrolysis at various heating rates of 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 40 K min$^{-1}$. It can be observed that (1) there is a peak and peak shoulder in the left side of the peak for each curve, (2) the peak value increases with the increasing of the heating rate, (3) the temperature at the peak increases with the increasing of the heating rate. Table 2 lists the information
about the derivative conversion curve peaks at various heating rates for corn stalk pyrolysis.

![Smoothed derivative conversion curves at various heating rates for corn stalk pyrolysis](image)

**Figure 15.** Smoothed derivative conversion curves at various heating rates for corn stalk pyrolysis

**Table 2.** Characterization of peak of derivative conversion curves at various heating rates for corn stalk pyrolysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta$ / K min$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$T_p$ / K</th>
<th>$\beta \frac{d\alpha}{dT_{p}}$ / s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>592.0</td>
<td>4.717×10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>605.1</td>
<td>9.545×10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>617.4</td>
<td>1.940×10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>631.2</td>
<td>3.710×10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>645.8</td>
<td>7.693×10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the conversion and smoothed derivative conversion curves, the corresponding values of $T_\alpha$ and $(d\alpha/dT)_\alpha$ values at various conversion values can be obtained by means of the cubic spline interpolation method mentioned above. Then, a tool called the Friedman isoconversional plots [94] can be used, where the $\ln[\beta(d\alpha/dT)_\alpha]$ versus $-1/RT_\alpha$ data at all heating rates and their corresponding linear regression lines for various conversions are presented. The coefficients of determination ($R^2$) of linear regressions for various conversions can be given, which is a measure of the goodness-of-fit [95].

**Figure 16** shows the Friedman isoconversional plots for corn stalk pyrolysis. **Table 3** lists the correlation coefficients of the linear regressions presented in **Figure 16**. In **Figure 16**, $-1000/RT_\alpha$ (not $-1/RT_\alpha$) is used, because the resulting $E_a$ can be directly expressed in kJ mol$^{-1}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ is the common unit for activation energy). From the results included in **Figure 16** and **Table 3**, the perfect linear relationship for most conversions was obtained, except for the conversion of 0.85. The $R^2$ value at the conversion of 0.85 is less than 0.9. Usually, the differences among the experimental data at different heating rates at high conversions (e.g. $\alpha \geq 0.85$) are very small, the results obtained by the Friedman isoconversional method contain large errors [72].
Figure 16. Friedman isoconversional plots at selected conversion values for corn stalk pyrolysis.

Table 3. Correlation coefficients of linear regressions presented in Figure 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.9954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.9912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.8998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By means of the Friedman isoconversional calculations, the values of $E_α$ and $\ln[A_{0f}(α)]$ for corn stalk pyrolysis can be obtained, as shown in Figure 17.
From Figure 17, it can be observed that $E_\alpha$ depends on $\alpha$: (1) $E_\alpha$ gradually increases from 148 to 186 kJ mol$^{-1}$ when $\alpha$ increases from 0.05 to 0.65; (2) $E_\alpha$ sharply increases from 186 to 473 kJ mol$^{-1}$ in the $\alpha$ range between 0.65 and 0.85. The similar trend of the variation of $E_\alpha$ with $\alpha$ can be found in the pyrolysis of the acid hydrolysis residue of miscanthus [96]. The activation energies ranged from 200 to 376 kJ mol$^{-1}$ increasing with increasing conversion (0.15~0.85). Other similar results were produced in the pyrolysis of tobacco waste [86], eucalyptus wood [47], polyether ether ketone and its carbon fiber composites [97], rice husk [98], rape straw [99], and microalgae [100].

Corn stalk is a typical lignocellulosic biomass, which contains cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. The pyrolysis of corn stalk involves multistep processes of those components. In the literature [101, 102], the researchers usually gave a mean value of the resultant activation energies obtained from isoconversional methods. In fact, the mean activation energy value is meaningless. According to Vyazovkin [103],

Figure 17. $E_\alpha$ and ln[$A_\alpha f(\alpha)$] as a function of conversion for corn stalk pyrolysis. Error bars represent confidence intervals.
the effective activation energy of lignocellulosic biomass has a meaning of a collective parameter linked to the activation energies of individual decomposition processes of those biopolymer components. And for the pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicellulose or lignin involves a distribution of activation energies [70]. Therefore, the effective activation energies could significantly vary with conversion. Wu et al. [86] put the curves of $E_\alpha$ vs. $\alpha$, $\alpha$ vs. $T$ and $d\alpha/dT$ vs. $T$ together and linked them with some lines, which provided an easy way to better understand the relationship between the decomposition reactions of lignocellulosic components and the resultant effective activation energies of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis. Marion et al. [47] discussed the relationship between the $E_\alpha$ dependency and the decomposition reactions of lignocellulosic components.

6 Verification

The use of most isoconversional methods (e.g. FWO, KAS and Vyazovkin methods) can lead to the estimation of only activation energies. So most published papers related on isoconversional kinetic analysis of solid state reactions only focused on the determination of the activation energies. It is impossible to reproduce the kinetic data with only activation energies. Therefore, the comparison between the experimental data and the predicted results from isoconversional kinetic analysis can’t be performed. Whether the resulting activation energies fitted the experimental data well or not is unknown.

The use of the Friedman isoconversional method can give the values of $E_\alpha$ and $\ln[A_{gf}(\alpha)]$, which makes it possible to reconstruct the kinetic process.

According to Equation (5), the following equation can be obtained:

$$\frac{dT}{d\alpha} = \beta e^{E_\alpha/(RT)} \cdot \ln[A_{gf}(\alpha)]$$

(8)

Based on the $E_\alpha$ and $\ln[A_{gf}(\alpha)]$ values at various $\alpha$ obtained from the Friedman isoconversional method, the above ordinary differential equation (8) can be numerically solved by means of the classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method and then the temperature values at a certain $\beta$ and various $\alpha$ values.
Figure 18 shows the comparison between the experimental data and the kinetic simulation based on the $E_\alpha$ and $\ln[A_\alpha f(\alpha)]$ values for corn stalk pyrolysis. It can be observed that the kinetic simulation fitted the experimental data very well, which indicated that the obtained kinetic parameters were effective.

Figure 18. Comparison between experimental data and kinetic simulation based on $E_\alpha$ and $\ln[A_\alpha f(\alpha)]$ for corn stalk pyrolysis at 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 40 K min$^{-1}$ (in the figure, Exp represents the experimental data, Sim represents the kinetic simulation based on the isoconversional kinetic analysis results).

7 Conclusions

Kinetic information (in particular effective activation energy) is essential necessary for the design and optimization of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis processes. TGA can provide an effective way to obtain experimental kinetic data. From experimental TGA data to the effective activation energies of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis, there are some processes involved in the Friedman isoconversional kinetic
analysis: the removal of “error” data points, the removal of dehydration stage, the transformation of TGA data to $\alpha - T$ data, the differentiation of $\alpha - T$ data to get $da/dT - T$ data, the smoothing of noisy $da/dT - T$ data, the interpolation of $\alpha - T$ and smoothed $da/dT - T$ data to get $T_\alpha$ and $(da/dT)_\alpha$ data at various $\alpha$, the Friedman isoconversional calculations for the determination of $E_\alpha$ and $\ln[A_\alpha f(\alpha)]$ at various $\alpha$, the reconstruction of kinetic process according to the resulting $E_\alpha$ and $\ln[A_\alpha f(\alpha)]$ and the comparison between the experimental data and the calculated data based on the kinetic parameters from isoconversional kinetic analysis.

A case study of corn stalk pyrolysis has been also presented in this paper. The results have shown that $E_\alpha$ gradually increases from 148 to 186 kJ mol$^{-1}$ when $\alpha$ increases from 0.05 to 0.65 and $E_\alpha$ sharply increases from 186 to 473 kJ mol$^{-1}$ in the $\alpha$ range between 0.65 and 0.85. The variation of $E_\alpha$ with $\alpha$ is attributed to collective link of the different pyrolysis kinetic behaviors of lignocellulosic components (e.g., cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) contained in corn stalk. Similar results can be found in the pyrolysis of other lignocellulosic biomass feedstocks.

It is worth noting that the general procedure presented in this paper can be also used for processing TGA data of the thermochemical conversion (pyrolysis, combustion or gasification) of other types of lignocellulosic biomass materials or solid fuels such as coal, polymer, oil shale, and waste plastic.
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